Case 2:94-cr-00062-MHT-CSC Document 1874-2 Filed 01/11/2006 Page 1 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : Case No. 94-62-N

Plaintiff,

vs.

CURTIS DRAYTON,

Montgomery, Alabama

May 22, 1995

10:10 a.m.

Defendant.

SENTENCING BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANTHONY A. ALAIMO United States District Judge

APPEARANCES:

For the Government:

CHARLES F. TESCHNER

Assistant United States Attorney

Post Office Drawer 19F Montgomery, Alabama 36101

(334) 223-7280

For the Defendant:

RONALD W. WISE, ESQUIRE 200 Interstate Park Drive

Suite 201

Montgomery, Alabama 36109

(334) 270-1300

Reported By:

Norma Hatfield

Official Court Reporter

801 Gloucester Street, Room 237

Post Office Box 1316

Brunswick, Georgia 31521-1316

(912) 262-9989

1	$\underline{P} \ \underline{R} \ \underline{O} \ \underline{C} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{E} \ \underline{D} \ \underline{I} \ \underline{N} \ \underline{G} \ \underline{S}$
2	(Call to Order 10:10 a.m.)
3	THE COURT: United States against Curtis Drayton.
4	Mr. Wise.
5	MR. WISE: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Drayton.
7	THE DEFENDANT: Good morning.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Clerk, would you please administer
9	the oath to Mr. Drayton?
10	THE CLERK: Would you please raise your right hand?
11	CURTIS DRAYTON, THE DEFENDANT, SWORN
12	THE DEFENDANT: I do.
13	THE CLERK: Please state your full name for the
14	record.
15	THE DEFENDANT: Curtis Lee Drayton.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Drayton, if you will remember, on
17	November the 16th of 1994, you were found guilty of Counts
18	Two, Seven, Twelve, Thirteen, and Twenty-one of the
19	Indictment charging you variously with violation of the drug
20	laws of the United States.
21	At this point I will ask you if you have had an
22	opportunity to read and discuss the Presentence Report with
23	your attorney.
24	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
25	THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the

Case 2:94-cr-00062-MHT-CSC Document 1874-2 Filed 01/11/2006 Page 3 of 9

factual statements contained in the Presentence Report.

MR. WISE: Yes, sir. Your Honor, we have objections that were filed with the probation officer as has been stated by previous counsel.

THE COURT: Yes, I have them in my file.

MR. WISE: As well as, pursuant to this Court's order, a pleading that was filed May 15th reiterating those objections, factually and also to the guidelines, Your Honor.

Instead of sitting here reading them to the Judge,
I adopt them at this time. And the Court has informed me
that the Court has been over them.

THE COURT: Yes. With respect to the objections to the Presentence Report's statement of facts, those facts to which there are no objections, I will adopt them as findings by the Court.

To those facts to which there are objections and which have been noted by the record, the Court finds by a preponderance of the evidence that the statements contained in the addendum are correct. I am going to adopt them as findings of fact by the Court.

With respect to the objections to the probation officer's application of the guidelines, the Court adopts the position of the probation officer and determines that the --

MR. WISE: Your Honor, if I may interrupt you as to the guidelines?

THE COURT: Sure, certainly.

MR. WISE: As Mr. Rubino stated, and as Mr. Tobias, we also draw the Court's attention to \underline{U} . S. \underline{v} . Butler and to the nature of the formula that the Government has come up with as to the quantity of drugs.

We would also object, even assuming there was any reliability to that formula, which we don't concede, then it is not reasonably foreseeable by Mr. Drayton that others would be distributing these drugs. And Mr. Drayton should only be held accountable for the counts upon which the jury found him guilty, which is substantially less than one hundred and fifty kilograms.

Also that also goes to relevant conduct as to the foreseeability.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. WISE: We also object to the Government and the probation officer finding that Mr. Drayton became a member in 1987. We submit there is not enough evidence to make that finding.

As to the two-point enhancement that Mr. Drayton received for a gun, the gun charge, he was charged along with the others in the conspiracy -- some of the others, Your Honor -- with possession of a firearm. He was found

not quilty of that.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

The Probation Office has added two points to his score because he was a member of the conspiracy and because a co-defendant was found quilty of that charge.

I understand the law. The law also requires they have got to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that it was reasonably foreseeable by Mr. Drayton that a firearm would be used in connection with the activities in which he participated.

We submit there is not sufficient evidence of that, Your Honor, and ask that the two points not be added, as well as the other objections we have made.

THE COURT: With respect to that added objection to the facts and also to the guidelines reference, as to the appropriate guidelines, I do find by a preponderance of the evidence that the facts underlying that were established, and I will adopt that as the findings of fact by the Court.

I will, also, with respect to that, adopt the probation officer's position with respect to the appropriate quidelines.

And I find them to be an Offense Level of 44, Criminal History Category Roman Numeral One, which calls for life imprisonment.

With respect to supervised release, with respect to Counts Two, Seven, Eleven, Twelve and Thirteen, it calls for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

a range in supervised release from three to five years.

Count Twenty-one is not less than five years of supervised release.

The fine range is from twenty-five thousand dollars to \$10 million.

No restitution is involved here, but there is a special assessment of three hundred dollars.

Now do you know of any reason why I should not proceed with the imposition of sentence at this time?

MR. WISE: No, sir, Your Honor, other than the objections we had filed.

THE COURT: Yes, sir. Do you wish to make any statement, either one or both of you with respect to the sentence?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

MR. WISE: No, sir.

THE COURT: Accordingly, after, as I say, reviewing the Presentence Report, as well as it's addendum, considering the evidence in the case, pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act, it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant, Curtis Drayton, is hereby committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a term of life.

That sentence was selected because of the nature and seriousness of the offenses involved.

He does not have the ability to pay a fine, and, of

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

course, I will not impose one. He must pay the three 1 2

hundred dollars special assessment which is due immediately.

On release from imprisonment he will be placed on supervised release for a term of five years during which he must comply with the standard conditions adopted by this And he must not commit any other federal, state, or local crime, or possess any drugs, illegal controlled substances, and he must not own, possess, use, or handle firearms or other destructive devices.

Upon release, he is required to report to the Probation Office in the district in which he is released within seventy-two hours.

Now there are special conditions here of supervised release, and they involve submission by the Defendant to a drug test if ordered to do so by the probation officer, as well as the participation in a substance abuse program as may be directed by that office.

I am remanding him to the custody of the United States Marshal.

You are advised that if you are dissatisfied with this sentence, you have a right to appeal from it within ten days from today.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: If you do not appeal within that period

Case 2;94-cr-00062-MHT-CSC Document 1874-2 Filed 01/11/2006 Page 8 of 9

of time, it means that you will have given up your right to 1 2 appeal. THE DEFENDANT: Right, sir. 3 THE COURT: Of course, you will be entitled to the 4 assistance of a lawyer during any such appeal. 5 THE DEFENDANT: Right. 6 THE COURT: If you cannot afford one and can 7 demonstrate it, the Court will appoint one for you. 8 Do you understand that? 9 THE DEFENDANT: (Affirmative nod) 10 THE COURT: And if you desire, the Clerk there will 11 12 help you file a notice of appeal. 13 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. MR. WISE: Your Honor may I have just a moment, 14 15 please? 16 THE COURT: Certainly. Having pronounced sentence, other than the 17 objections which have previously been stated for the record, 18 do you now have any other objection to the Court's findings 19 of fact or conclusions of law or to the manner in which 20 21 sentence was pronounced? MR. WISE: None that have not already been brought 22 to the Court's attention by pleadings and through the 23 24 Probation Office.

And we would also renew our objection to the

25

offense level. THE COURT: The record will so note. That is the judgment of the Court. (Recess at 10:16 a.m.) CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the stenographic record of the above-mentioned matter. Jel. 20,1996 Date